

## Short communication

# Performance of grain sorghum in summer rice fallows of Southern Kerala

Anuranj R.\*, Usha C. Thomas and Safeer M.M.

College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 522, Kerala

Received on 23 January 2025; received in revised form 25 April 2025, accepted 31 May2025.

#### **Abstract**

Sorghum is a major millet crop, renowned for its adaptability to various cropping systems and harsh environmental conditions typical of semi-arid regions. Sorghum in paddy fallows is gaining popularity among the farmers in South India. An experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, Vellayani, from January 2024 to May 2024 to standardize the tillage, plant population and nutrient management for grain sorghum in summer rice fallows. The experimental design was RCBD replicated thrice and the treatments comprised of 12 combinations of two tillage methods (t<sub>1</sub>-zero tillage, t<sub>2</sub>-conventional tillage), two spacings ( $p_1 - 45x15$  cm,  $p_2 - 60x15$  cm) and three NPK levels ( $n_1 - 45:25:25$  kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $n_2 - 50:25:75$  kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> and n<sub>3</sub> – 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>). Sorghum grown under conventional tillage with spacing 45x15 cm and a nutrient dose of 50:25:75 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded taller plants at harvest (288.03 cm) and higher leaf area index (LAI) at 30 DAS (3.13). Sorghum grown under zero tillage with spacing 45x15 cm and a nutrient dose of 50:25:50 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher dry matter production (DMP)(11.72 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest. Higher grain yield (5.14 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained when sorghum was grown under zero tillage with spacing 45x15 cm and a nutrient dose of 50:25:50 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and higher stover yield (8.10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained in sorghum grown under zero tillage with spacing 45x15 cm and a nutrient dose of 50:25:75 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The net income(₹ 98676) as well as B-C ratio (2.77) was the highest in sorghum grown under zero tillage with 45 cm x 15 cm spacing and 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>nutrient dose followed by sorghum grown under zero tillage with 45 cm x 15 cm spacing and 50:25:75 kg NPK ha¹nutrient dose (₹ 94263). Hence, grain sorghum can be profitably cultivated in summer rice fallows with zero tillage planted at a spacing of 45 cm x 15 cm and application of nutrient dose of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM.

Key words: Conservation tillage, Nutrient management, Spacing

Sorghum is a versatile crop, renowned for its adaptability to various cropping systems and harsh environmental conditions typical of semi-arid regions. Sorghum cultivation in paddy fallows is becoming increasingly popular among farmers, as the crop is typically grown under zero-tillage conditions (Mishra and Chapke, 2013). Zero-tillage offers several economic and environmental advantages compared to conventional tillage, including reduced labour and fuel requirements, minimized soil erosion and runoff, enhanced soil organic carbon status, and improved soil biological activity.

Altering plant density is one of the strategies to promote water use efficiencyby the crop. Plants grown with a closer row spacing and with a higher density led to a more efficient use of solar radiation, nutrients andwater (McGowan et al.,1991). Sorghum responds well to nutrient application, with higher yields being achieved through a balanced application of NPK. In rice- fallows of Andhra Pradesh farmers use higher dose of fertilizers (150-200 kg N, 75-80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O, and 75 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Chapke and Babu, 2016). For

rainfed sorghum NPK recommendation is 45:25:25 kg/ha (KAU, 2024). Karthik (2021) reported that a modified fertilizer dose of 50:25:75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK with N and K in two equal split doses, half of each at basal and at 30DAS and entire P as basal dose for high yielding sorghum as rainfed crop in laterite soils of southern Kerala.

In this background the present study was conducted with the objective to standardize tillage, plant population and nutrient management for cultivation of grain sorghum in summer rice fallows.

The study was undertaken in wetlands of the Instructional farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram. The experimental field is located at 8°25' 47" N latitude and 76° 59' 04" E longitude at an altitude of 24.15 m above MSL. The mean maximum temperature recorded between 32.2° C to 34.4° C and mean minimum temperature recorded between 20.0° C to 25.8° C, mean maximum relative humidity recorded between 85.14 per cent to 94.40 per cent, and mean minimum relative humidity

<sup>\*</sup> Author for Correspondences: Phone :6238946004; Email: anuranjr09@gmail.com

recorded between 64.42 per cent to 76.10 per cent. A total rainfall of 343.20 mm was received during the cropping period. Composite soil sample was taken from the field before the experiment and analysed for its mechanical composition and chemical properties. The soil was sandy clay loam with strong acidity, safe electrical conductivity and high organic carbon content. The soil was medium in available nitrogen and high in available phosphorus and medium in available potassium.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with 2 x 2 x 3 treatments, replicated thrice and the treatments included of combinations of two tillage methods (t<sub>1</sub>-zero tillage, t<sub>2</sub>-conventional tillage), two spacing  $(p_1 - 45x15 \text{ cm}, p_2 - 60x15 \text{ cm})$  and three NPK levels  $(n_1 45:25:25 \text{ kg NPK ha}^{-1}, n_3 - 50:25:75 \text{ kg NPK ha}^{-1} \text{ and } n_3 -$ 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>). High-yielding grain sorghum variety Co-32 (TNS 648), released by Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, was used for the study. Co-32 is a derivative of APK 1 x M35-1, having a duration of 105-110 days. Season of the study was summer 2023-24. Plots of 4.5 m  $\times$  4.5 m were prepared with 30 cm wide bunds on all four sides. Then plots with conventional tillage treatment was ploughed and the clods were crushed, levelled and brought to a fine tilth and plots with zero tillage treatment was left as such. Irrigation and drainage channels were also provided. Seeds were sown according to the treatments, with row spacings of 45 cm and 60 cm, and a plant-to-plant spacing of 15 cm in line sowing method. Thinning and gap filling were done at 20 DAS. Irrigation was given daily up to crop establishment stage and subsequently as and when required. Five plants were selected and tagged in each plot, and growth and yield parameters were observed. Net income and B:C ratio were also worked out based on existing input and labour costs and cost of grain and stover.

Application of a higher nutrient dose of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha-1 led to a plant height of 266.17 cm and 269.76 cm at 90 DAS and harvest respectivelywhich was on par with a nutrient dose of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> with a height of 263.56 cm and 266.54 cm at 90 DAS and harvest respectively. The interaction t<sub>2</sub>n<sub>2</sub> resulted in significantly superior plant height at 30 DAS (109.17 cm). Significantly taller plants (270.14 cm) were produced by treatment  $p_1 n_2$  which was on par with  $p_1 n_2 (269.76 \text{ cm}), p_2 n_2 (262.58 \text{ cm}), p_3 n_3 (256.97 \text{ cm}) \text{ and } p_3 n_4 (269.76 \text{ cm})$ (254.97 cm) at 90 DASwhereas treatment p<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub> led to superior plant height of 273.79 cm which was on par with p<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub> (272.92) cm),  $p_2 n_1 (265.73 \text{ cm})$ ,  $p_2 n_3 (260.15 \text{ cm})$  and  $p_2 n_1 (258.48 \text{ cm})$ at harvest. Sorghum grown under conventional tillage with a spacing of 45x15 cm and nutrient dose of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha-1led to superior plant height of 285.36 cm at 90 DAS whereas sorghum grown under conventional tillage with a spacing of 45x15 cm and nutrient dose of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>led to superior plant height of 288.03 cm at harvest.

Conventional tillage recorded statistically higher number of functional leaves per plant at 60 DAS and harvest respectively. Planting at a wider spacing of 60x15 cmresulted significantly higher number of leaves at all stages of growth NPK application rate of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> was on par with 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> with respect to number of leaves and produced significantly higher number of leaves at 90 DAS. Widely spaced sorghum plants had access to more resources, such as light, nutrients, and water, allowing for the development of more leaves per plant compared to closely spaced plants. This is particularly relevant for sorghum, a crop known for its high nutrient demand and significant light requirements, as noted by Evans (1975).

Leaf Area Index (LAI) was found to be significantly superior (2.10) at 30 DAS for plants grown under conventional tillage. Sorghum grown with 45x15 cm spacing resulted in significantly higher LAI (2.32, 5.40and 3.99) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS.Plants applied with a nutrient dose of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded statistically superior LAI (2.17) which was on par with a nutrient dose of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> having LAI of 1.95 at 30 DAS. Plants applied with a nutrient dose of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded statistically superior LAI (3.90) at 90 DAS. The treatment t<sub>2</sub>p<sub>1</sub> recorded significantly superior LAI (2.73)at 30 DAS. At 90 DASt<sub>2</sub>p<sub>1</sub> recorded significantly superior LAI (4.13) which was on par with  $t_1p_1$  (3.84). The treatment  $t_2n_2$  evinced significantly superior LAI of 2.40 which was on par with  $t_3$ n, (2.17) at 30 DAS. The treatment  $p_1 n_3$  resulted in significantly superior LAI of 2.72 at 30 DAS and the treatment p<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub> produced significantly superior LAI of 4.52 at 90 DAS. The deeper tillage would have resulted in more aerated root zone, facilitating better root expansion and deeper growth. This enhanced root system allowed the plants to absorb water and nutrients more effectively from the soil. As a result, the plants exhibited increased height and overall vigour (Muhsin et al., 2021). Also improved availability of nutrients encourages apical branching, leading to a greater total number of leaves on each plant (Biemond, 1995). Conventional tillage led to increased soil porosity and reduced penetration resistance, when compared to plots under zero tillage (Lasisi, 2008). These facilitates plant roots to develop more efficiently and improve nutrient absorption from the soil. This improved root growth and nutrient uptake ultimately promoted better overall plant growth i.e., plant height, number of leaves and dry matter production (Tsegaye and Mullins, 1994). Closer spacing leads to more plants per unit area, which results in a denser canopy that intercepts more sunlight. The increased plant density causes faster

Table 1a. Effect of nutrient management on plant height of sorghum

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
Nutrient management(n)				
n, (45:25:25 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	81.96	226.60	246.84	250.37
n <sub>2</sub> (50:25:75 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	91.08	246.94	266.17	269.76
n <sub>3</sub> (50:25:50 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	83.41	234.52	263.56	266.54
SEm (±)	3.327	5.91	3.75	3.82
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	11.0	11.201

*Table 1b.* Effect of tillage, spacing and nutrient management on plant height of sorghum

Interactions	Plant height (cm)						
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest			
Tillage (t) x NPK Levels (n)							
$t_1 n_1$	85.83	231.43	245.06	248.64			
$t_1 n_2$	72.98	244.01	262.27	265.71			
$t_1 n_3$	78.16	229.47	258.39	261.91			
$t_2 n_1$	78.10	221.76	248.61	252.09			
$t_2 n_2$	109.17	249.88	270.07	273.81			
$t_2 n_3$	88.68	239.58	268.72	271.16			
SEm (±)	4.77	8.36	5.30	5.40			
CD (0.05)	13.801	NS	NS	NS			
Spacing (p) x NPK Levels	(n)						
$p_1 n_1$	79.36	221.24	238.70	242.26			
$p_1 n_2$	90.21	243.17	269.76	273.79			
$p_1 n_3$	88.68	246.97	270.14	272.92			
$\mathbf{p}_2\mathbf{n}_1$	84.56	231.95	254.97	258.48			
$\mathbf{p}_2\mathbf{n}_2$	91.95	250.71	262.58	265.73			
$p_2 n_3$	78.15	222.08	256.97	260.15			
SEm (±)	4.77	8.35	5.304	5.40			
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	15.556	15.841			

Table 1c. Effect of T X P X N interaction on plant height of sorghum

Treatment		Plant hei	aht (cm)	
	20 D 4 C		<u> </u>	A . 1
combinations	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
Tillage (t) x Spacing (p)	x NPK Level	s (n)		
$t_1 p_1 n_1$	86.73	230.42	244.69	248.25
$t_1 p_1 n_2$	71.90	236.46	255.94	259.55
$t_1p_1n_3$	75.73	239.15	254.93	258.49
$t_1 p_2 n_1$	84.93	232.43	245.44	249.04
$t_1p_2n_2$	74.06	251.56	268.60	271.88
$t_1p_2n_3$	80.58	219.78	261.86	265.33
$t_2 p_1 n_1$	71.99	212.05	232.72	236.27
$t_2p_1n_2$	108.51	249.89	283.57	288.03
$t_2p_1n_3$	101.63	254.78	285.36	287.36
$t_2p_2n_1$	84.20	231.48	264.50	267.92
$t_2p_2n_2$	109.83	249.86	256.56	259.58
$t_{2}p_{2}n_{3}$	75.72	224.39	252.09	254.97
SEm (±)	6.66	11.82	7.50	7.64
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	21.999	22.402

canopy closure, leading to higher LAI. A higher LAI boosts the plant's photosynthetic capacity by maximizing the use of available light, enhancing growth. The application of higher fertility levels led to a significant increase in LAI, number of leaves and dry matter production. The underlying reason for this effect is the rapid cell division and elongation stimulated by higher fertility, resulting in an increase in dry

*Table 2.* Effect of tillage, spacing and nutrient management on number of leaves per plant of sorghum

Treatments	Number of leaves			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
Tillage (t)				
t, (Zero tillage)	5.00	8.24	3.58	1.60
t <sub>2</sub> (Conventional tillage)	4.99	9.04	3.72	2.44
SEm (±)	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.11
CD (0.05)	NS	0.434	NS	0.323
Spacing (p)				
$p_1$ (45 cm x 15 cm)	4.80	8.32	3.17	1.84
$p_{2} (60 \text{ cm x } 15 \text{ cm})$	5.19	8.96	4.13	2.19
SEm (±)	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.11
CD (0.05)	0.333	0.434	0.422	0.323
Nutrient management(n)				
n <sub>1</sub> (45:25:25 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	4.98	8.50	3.04	1.87
n, (50:25:75 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5.00	8.88	3.82	1.96
n <sub>3</sub> (50:25:50 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5.00	8.54	4.09	2.23
SEm (±)	0.14	0.18	0.18	0.14
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	0.517	NS

matter accumulation per unit time as fertility levels rise. These findings are consistent with the observations made by Gawai and Pawar (2006). Nutrient management influenced the days to 50 per cent flowering and a lesser number of days required for 50 per cent flowering (64.08 days) with a nutrient dose of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> which was on par with NPK rate 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>. Nutrients when applied at a higher dose resulted in higher concentration and uptake of nutrients by plants which in turn led to greater synthesis of protein and earlier flower primordia development which would have ultimately resulted in earlier flowering (Patidar and Mali, 2004).

Sorghum grown under zero tillage resulted in significantly superior (8.18 t ha<sup>1</sup>) dry matter production (DMP) at harvest. Plants grown with 45x15 cm spacing produced significantly superior DMP of 8.82 t ha<sup>1</sup> at harvest. Application of NPK at rate of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha-1 resulted in statistically higher DMP (8.92 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest. The combination of zero tillage with a 45x15 cm spacing  $(t_1p_1)$  resulted in significantly superior DMP (9.34 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest. The treatment t<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub> i.e., zero tillage with a nutrient dose of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in higher DMP (9.53 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was on par with  $t_1 n_3$  (8.59 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest. The combination of 45x15 cm spacing and a nutrient dose of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> (p,n<sub>2</sub>) having the highest value (10.15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was comparable with p<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub> (9.27 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest. Sorghum grown under zero tillage with a spacing of 45x15 cm and a nutrient dose of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in significantly superior DMP (11.72 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was comparable with t<sub>1</sub>p<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub> (11.37 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Zero tillage may slow down early growth due to the lack of soil disturbance, it improves soil moisture retention and enhances the soil's organic matter content over time. These benefits become more significant in the later

Table 3a. Effect of tillage, spacing and nutrient management on leaf area index (LAI) of sorghum

Treatments	LAI			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
Tillage (t)				
t, (Zero tillage)	1.88	4.79	3.61	
t, (Conventional tillage)	2.10	4.75	3.56	
ŠEm (±)	0.05	0.11	0.07	
CD (0.05)	0.138	NS	NS	
Spacing (p)				
$p_1(45 \text{ cm x } 15 \text{ cm})$	2.32	5.40	3.99	
$p_{2}(60 \text{ cm x } 15 \text{ cm})$	1.67	4.15	3.18	
SEm (±)	0.05	0.11	0.07	
CD (0.05)	0.138	0.313	0.216	
Nutrient management(n)				
n <sub>1</sub> (45:25:25 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.86	4.65	3.44	
n <sub>2</sub> (50:25:75 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2.17	4.88	3.90	
n <sub>3</sub> (50:25:50 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.95	4.78	3.42	
SEm (±)	0.06	0.13	0.09	
CD (0.05)	0.169	NS	0.264	

Table 3b. Interaction effects of tillage, spacing and nutrient management on leaf area index (LAI) of sorghum

management on leaf area index (LAI) of sorghum						
Interactions		LAI				
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS			
Tillage(t) x Spacing (p)						
$t_1p_1$	1.90	5.41	3.84			
$t_1p_2$	1.87	4.16	3.38			
$t_2p_1$	2.73	5.38	4.13			
$t_2p_2$	1.46	4.13	2.98			
SEm(±)	0.07	0.15	0.10			
CD(0.05)	0.195	NS	0.305			
Tillage(t) x NPK Levels(n)						
$t_1 n_1$	1.99	4.91	3.54			
$t_1 n_2$	1.94	4.72	3.80			
$t_1^{-1}$	1.73	4.75	3.50			
$t_2 n_1$	1.72	4.38	3.33			
$t_2 n_2$	2.40	5.06	4.00			
$t_2n_3$	2.17	4.82	3.34			
SEm(±)	0.08	0.18	0.13			
CD(0.05)	0.238	NS	NS			
Spacing(p) x NPK Levels(n)						
$p_1 n_1$	2.00	5.17	3.76			
$p_1 n_2$	2.22	5.48	4.52			
$p_1 n_3$	2.72	5.54	3.69			
$\mathbf{p}_{2}\mathbf{n}_{1}$	1.71	4.12	3.12			
$p_2 n_2$	2.12	4.29	3.27			
$p_{2}n_{3}$	1.18	4.03	3.15			
SEm(±)	0.08	0.18	0.13			
CD(0.05)	0.238	NS	0.374			

stages of sorghum growth, as improved soil structure leads to more stable moisture levels and greater long-term nutrient availability. As a result, dry matter production becomes higher in the later stages of growth under zero tillage. Additionally, reduced soil disturbance helps to preserve beneficial soil microorganisms that support nutrient cycling during the later stages.

The sorghum yield was significantly affected by tillage, spacing and nutrient management. Zero tillage treatment

*Table 3c.* Effect of t x p x n interaction on leaf area index (LAI) of sorghum

Treatment combinations	LAI		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
Tillage (t) x Spacing (p) x NPK L	evels (n)		
$t_1p_1n_1$	1.91	5.43	4.03
$t_1p_1n_2$	1.48	5.26	3.91
$t_1p_1n_3$	2.32	5.55	3.59
$t_1p_2n_1$	2.07	4.38	3.06
$t_1p_2n_2$	2.40	4.17	3.68
$t_1p_2n_3$	1.14	3.94	3.40
$t_{2}p_{1}n_{1}$	2.09	4.92	3.48
$t_2p_1n_2$	2.97	5.70	5.13
$t_2p_1n_3$	3.13	5.52	3.79
$t_2p_2n_1$	1.35	3.86	3.19
$t_2p_2n_2$	1.83	4.41	2.86
$t_2p_2n_3$	1.22	4.12	2.90
SEm (±)	0.12	0.26	0.18
CD (0.05)	0.337	NS	0.529

*Table 4a.* Effect of tillage, spacing and nutrient management on grain yield, stover yield and harvest index of sorghum.

Treatments	Grain	Stover	Harvest	Dry matter
	yield	yield	index	production
	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		at harvest
Tillage (t)				
t <sub>1</sub> (Zero tillage)	3.28	6.48	0.33	8.18
t <sub>2</sub> (Conventional tillage)	2.42	5.51	0.31	7.27
SEm (±)	0.06	0.10	0.007	0.24
CD (0.05)	0.172	0.283	0.019	0.72
Spacing (p)				
$p_1(45 \text{ cm x } 15 \text{ cm})$	3.08	6.52	0.31	8.82
p <sub>2</sub> (60 cm x 15 cm)	2.62	5.46	0.34	6.63
SEm (±)	0.06	0.10	0.007	0.24
CD (0.05)	0.172	0.283	NS	0.72
Nutrient management(n)				
n <sub>1</sub> (45:25:25 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2.27	5.17	0.32	6.61
n, (50:25:75 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.13	7.09	0.30	8.92
n <sub>3</sub> (50:25:50 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.15	5.72	0.36	7.64
SEm (±)	0.07	0.12	0.008	0.30

resulted in grain yield (3.28 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), stover yield of 6.48 t  $ha^{-1}$  and harvest index (0.33). Plants grown with a 45x15 cm spacing resulted in higher grain yield (3.08 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (6.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas plants grown with a 60x15 cm spacing recorded higher harvest index (0.34). Application of nutrient dose of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>recorded higher grain yield (3.15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (0.36) whereas nutrient dose of 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in highest stover yield of 7.09 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The t<sub>1</sub>p<sub>1</sub> treatment (zero tillage and 45x15 cm spacing) produced the higher grain yield (3.96 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (7.27 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas t<sub>2</sub>p<sub>2</sub> treatment (conventional tillage and 60x15 cm spacing) shows the highest harvest index (0.35). The treatment  $t_1 n_2$  (zero tillage and 50:25:50kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest harvest index (0.39). The treatment  $t_1 n_2$  (zero tillage and 50:25:75kg NPKha<sup>-1</sup>) produced the higher grain yield (4.00 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (7.99 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). p<sub>1</sub>n<sub>3</sub> treatment (45 cm x 15 cm spacing and 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced higher grain

*Table 4b.* Interaction effects of tillage, spacing and nutrient management on grain yield, stover yield and harvest index of sorghum.

sorgium.				
Interactions	Grain	Stover	Harvest	Dry matter
	yield	yield	index	production
	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		at harvest
Tillage (t) x Spacing (p)				
$t_1p_1$	3.96	7.27	0.34	9.94
$t_1^{}p_2^{}$	2.60	5.69	0.33	6.43
$t_2p_1$	2.20	5.78	0.27	7.71
$t_2p_2$	2.65	5.23	0.35	6.83
SEm (±)	0.08	0.14	0.009	0.35
CD (0.05)	0.243	0.40	0.027	1.018
Tillage (t) x NPK Levels (t	n)			
$t_1 n_1$	1.98	5.16	0.28	6.44
$t_1^{} n_2^{}$	4.00	7.99	0.33	9.53
$t_1 n_3$	3.84	6.28	0.39	8.59
$t_2 n_1$	2.55	5.18	0.35	6.79
$t_2^{}$	2.25	6.18	0.27	8.32
$t_2 n_3$	2.46	5.16	0.32	6.70
SEm (±)	0.10	0.17	0.01	0.42
CD(0.05)	0.297	0.489	0.03	1.247
Spacing (p) x NPK Levels	(n)			
$p_1 n_1$	1.77	5.38	0.25	7.06
$p_1 n_2$	3.45	7.34	0.30	10.15
$p_1 n_3$	3.99	6.85	0.36	9.27
$p_2 n_1$	2.76	4.96	0.38	6.17
$p_2^{}n_2^{}$	2.80	6.84	0.29	7.70
$p_2 n_3$	2.30	4.58	0.35	6.02
SEm (±)	0.10	0.17	0.01	0.42
CD(0.05)	0.297	0.489	0.03	1.247

*Table 4c.* Effect of t x p x n interaction on grain yield, stover yield and harvest index of sorghum.

Treatment	Grain	Stover	Harvest	Dry matter
combination	yield	yield	index	production
	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		at harvest
Tillage(t) x Spacing(p) x N	PK Levels	(n)		
$t_1p_1n_1$	1.77	5.90	0.23	6.73
$t_1p_1n_2$	4.96	8.10	0.39	11.37
$t_1p_1n_3$	5.14	7.81	0.40	11.72
$t_1p_2n_1$	2.20	4.43	0.33	6.14
$t_1 p_2 n_2$	3.05	7.88	0.28	7.69
$t_1p_2n_3$	2.55	4.76	0.38	5.45
$t_2p_1n_1$	1.78	4.87	0.27	7.39
$t_2p_1n_2$	1.95	6.58	0.23	8.92
$t_2p_1n_3$	2.86	5.90	0.33	6.81
$t_2p_2n_1$	3.32	5.49	0.43	6.19
$t_2p_2n_2$	2.56	5.79	0.31	7.71
$t_2p_2n_3$	2.06	4.41	0.32	6.59
SEm (±)	0.14	0.24	0.02	0.60
CD (0.05)	0.42	0.692	0.05	1.763

yield (3.99 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas  $p_1n_2$  treatment (45 cm x 15 cm spacing and 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced highest stoveryield (7.34 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with  $p_1n_3$  (6.85 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).  $p_2n_1$  treatment (60 cm x 15 cm spacing and 45:25:25 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced highest harvest index (0.38) which was on par with  $p_1n_3$  (0.36) and  $p_2n_3$  (0.35). Sorghum grown under zero tillage with 45x15 cm spacing and 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> nutrient dose produced highest grain yield (5.14 t

*Table 6.* Effect of tillage, spacing, nutrient management and their interactions on net income and benefit-cost ratio

Treatment	Net income	B:C
combination	(₹□ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	ratio
$\overline{t_1p_1n_1}$	11623	1.21
$t_1p_1n_2$	94263	2.65
$t_1p_1n_3$	98676	2.77
$t_1p_2n_1$	16070	1.30
$t_1p_2n_2$	47476	1.83
$t_1 p_2 n_3$	24426	1.44
$t_{2}p_{1}n_{1}$	4859	1.08
$t_2 p_1 n_2$	11208	1.18
$t_2^2 p_1 n_3$	31759	1.52
$t_2^2 p_2 n_1$	42667	1.72
$t_2^2 p_2^2 n_2$	22719	1.37
$t_2 p_2 n_3$	8909	1.15

ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas Sorghum grown under zero tillage with 45x15 cm spacing and 50:25:75 kg NPK ha-1 nutrient dose produced highest stover yield (8.10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Sorghum grown under conventional tillage with 60x15 cm spacing and nutrient dose of 45:25:25 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> produced highest harvest index (0.43). In zero tillage there will be better soil moisture retention, timely planting, and reduced soil disturbance that leads to enhanced yield components as a result of water conservation, better surface residue management, improved soil biological activity, and improved soil structure (Busari et al., 2015). The reduced disturbance of soil structure in zero tillage promotes better nutrient retention and availability, which can positively affect grain weight per panicle (Laxmi et al., 2007). Closer spacing may lead to better canopy coverage which enhances light interception and photosynthesis (Wang et al., 2023), also it improve water use efficiency by reducing evaporation of water from the soil surface and ensuring more water availability to plants (Ngidi et al., 2024) and also closer spacing can supress weed growth by shading the soil surface, reducing competition for resources and allowing sorghum plants to thrive (Nagesh Kumar et al., 2022). Higher N level (up to 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) significantly increased grain yield, stover yield, and grain weight per panicle across various sorghum genotypes (Naik and Debbarma, 2022). Potassium is crucial for promoting optimal plant growth and it initiates various critical enzymes that play key roles in activities such as protein production, sugar movement, nitrogen and carbon metabolism, and photosynthesis, thereby making a substantial contribution to enhancing crop yields (Marschner, 2012).

The economic analysis revealed that, sorghum grown under zero tillage with 45x15 cm spacing and 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> nutrient doseresulted in higher net returns (98,676 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B:C ratio (2.77) followed by sorghum grown under zero tillage with 45x15 cm spacing and 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> nutrient dose with net income (₹ 94,263) and BCR

(2.65). Higher grain yield, stover yield and harvest index was recorded in zero tillage and low cost of cultivation for land preparation would have led to higher net income and benefit cost ratio under zero tillage (Chapke et al., 2017). Sorghum grown with a closer spacing 45 cm x 15 cm recorded higher grain yield and stover yield and led to higher net returns and benefit cost ratio (Kumar et al., 2012). Nutrient dose of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> and 50:25:75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher grain yield and stover yield and considering the total cost of cultivation NPK ratio of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher net income and benefit cost ratio (Sumeriya et al., 2005).

# Conclusion

Considering growth, yield and economics of cultivation, sorghum may be recommended for cultivation in summer rice fallows with zero tillage, spacing of 45 cm x 15 cm and application of 50:25:50 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of FYM.

### References

- Biemond, H. 1995. Nitrogen nutrition effects on development, growth and nitrogen accumulation of vegetables. Dissertation, Wageningen University and Research, 20p.
- Busari, M.A., Kukal, S.S., Kaur, A., Bhatt, R., and Dulazi, A.A. 2015. Conservation tillage impacts on soil, crop and the environment. *Int. Soil Water Conserv. Res.* 3(2): 119-129.
- Chapke, R.R. and Babu, K.S.2016. Promising practices for highest sorghum productivity in rice fallows under zero tillage. ICAR. 65(12):46-49.
- Chapke, R.R., Babu, S., Subbarayudu, B., and Tonapi, V.A. 2017. Growing popularity of sorghum in rice fallows: An IIMR case study. Bulletin. ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad, 40p.
- Evans, L.T. 1975. *Crops and world food supply, crop evolution, and the origins of crop physiology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 22p.
- Gawai, P.P. and Pawar, V.S. 2006. Integrated nutrient management in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor)—chickpea (Cicer arietinum) cropping sequence under irrigated conditions. Indian J. Agron. 51(1): 17-20.
- Karthik, T. R. 2021. Nutrient scheduling in rainfed sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). M.Sc. (Ag) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 86p.
- KAU (Kerala Agricultural University). 2024. *Package of Practices Recommendations: Crops* (15<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 393p.
- Kumar, S., Yakadri, M., and Rao, S.S. 2012. Effect of nitrogen levels and planting geometry on sweet sorghum (*Sorghum*

- bicolor) growth, stalk and grain yields. Crop Res. 44(1): 33-36.
- Lasisi, D. 2008. Effects of tillage methods on soybean growth and soil properties. M. Sc. Thesis, ObafemiAwolowo University, Nigeria, 78p.
- Laxmi, V., Erenstein, O., and Gupta, R.K. 2007. *Impact of zero tillage in India's rice-wheat systems*. CIMMYT and the Rice-Wheat Consortium for the Indo-Gangetic Plains New Delhi, India, 9p.
- Marschner, H. 2012. *Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants* (3<sup>rd</sup> Edn), Cambridge, MA: Academic press, p. 672
- McGowan, M., Taylor, H. M., and Willingham, J. 1991. Influence of row spacing on growth, light and water use by sorghum. *J. Agric. Sci.* 116(3): 329-339.
- Mishra, J.S. and Chapke, R.R. 2013. *Sorghum cultivation in rice-fallows: A new opportunity.* Directorate of Sorghum Research, Hyderabad, 168p.
- Muhsin, S. J., Ramadhan, M. N., and Nassir, A.J. 2021. Effect of organic manure and tillage depths on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) production. In: 2<sup>nd</sup> Virtual International Scientific Agricultural Conference; 21-22, January, 2021, Iraq. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 735(1): p.012070.
- Nagesh Kumar, M.V., Ramya, V., Govindaraj, M., Dandapani, A., Maheshwaramma, S., Ganapathy, K.N., Kavitha, K., Goverdhan, M., and Jagadeeshwar, R. 2022. India's rainfed sorghum improvement: Three decades of genetic gain assessment for yield, grain quality, grain mold and shoot fly resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13: 1056040p. Available: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.1056040 [20 September 2024].
- Naik, M.V. and Debbarma, V. 2022. Influence of nitrogen and boron on growth and yield of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus). *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.* 34(21): 882-887.
- Ngidi, A., Shimelis, H., Abady, S., Chaplot, V., and Figlan, S. 2024. Genetic variation and association of yield, yield components, and carbon storage in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) genotypes. *BMC Genom. Data*, 25(1): 74p. Available: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-024-01256-4 [24 September 2024]
- Patidar, M. and Mali, A.L. 2004. Effect of farmyard manure, fertility levels and bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor). Indian J. Agron. 49(2): 117-120.
- Sumeriya, H.K., Singh, P., and Mali, A.L. 2005. Studies on the effect of fertility levels on growth and productivity of sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Crop Res. 30(1): 6-9.
- Tsegaye, T. and Mullins, C.E. 1994. Effect of mechanical impedance on root growth and morphology of two varieties of pea (*Pisumsativum* L.). *New Phytol.* 126(4): 707-713.
- Wang, R., Wang, H., Huang, S., Zhao, Y., Chen, E., Li, F., Qin, L., Yang, Y., Guan, Y.A., Liu, B., and Zhang, H. 2023. Assessment of yield performances for grain sorghum varieties by AMMI and GGE biplot analyses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14: 1261323p. Available: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1261323 [27 September 2024].