Impact of plant growth regulators and pruning on flowering in Jasmine (Jasminum sambac L.)

Sandra Santhosh, T.V. Anupama*, U. Sreelatha, Mini Sankar and M.S. Parvathi

College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur 680 656, Kerala.

Received 11November 2022; received in revision form 29 April 2023; accepted on 15 May 2023

Abstract

An investigation was carried out at Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture Vellanikkara to evaluate the effects of growth regulators and pruning on induction of off-season flowering in jasmine (*Jasminum sambac* L.). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (with two factors) *viz.* time of pruning (factor 1) - last week of September, last week of October and last week of November, and application of growth regulators (factor 2) such as cycocel (1000 ppm, 1500 ppm), paclobutrazol (200 ppm, 300 ppm), mepiquat chloride (150 ppm, 300 ppm) GA₃ (100 ppm, 150 ppm), with two replications. In the present study, P x G interaction had a significant effect on the days to bud initiation, number of cymes per plant and number of buds per plant. The treatment combination P₁ x G₁ (pruning during last week of September along with application of cycocel 1000 ppm) was found to give better flower yield during offseason.

Key words: Cycocel, GA, Growth regulators, Jasminum sambac, Mepiquat chloride, Paclobutrazol, Pruning

Introduction

Jasminum sambac is an important commercial loose flower crop. Among 200 species of the genus of Jasminum, Jasminum sambac is the species most suited for cultivation in Kerala. In South India the usual flowering season ranges from March to October (Dhanasekaran, 2019). As there is an ever increasing demand for fresh flowers, efforts are being made to increase the yield of jasmine by developing high yielding varieties and incorporating improved agro-techniques such as nutrient management, application of growth regulators and staggered pruning (Lokhande et al., 2015).

In Kerala, there is a high demand for *Jasminum sambac* flowers during festive seasons and special occasions (Sobhana, 2014). The peak season of flowering is from March-October, and the off season is December-March, when flower production

declines. Jasmine being a seasonal crop, during offseason there is a great demand in market. To avoid this demand-supply imbalance in the market there is a need to increase the production during offseason. Pruning is an important practice that helps in manipulation of growth and flowering in jasmine (Pal, 2017). Regulation of plant growth and development using natural growth regulators is usually used for the increase in flower production in many horticultural crops. As in the case of any other loose flowers, the production of jasmine crop should coincide with the market demand of the flowers. But the additional benefit from the cultivation of crop lies in the off-season production and supply of flowers during the lean periods during July to October (Krishnamoorthy, 2014). It is in this respect that the possibility of using the combination of pruning with plant growth regulators for regulation of flowering in jasmine assumes significance.

^{*}Author for correspondences: Phone: 9447062824, Email: anupama.tv@kau.in

Materials and methods

The present investigation was carried out in the Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, Vellanikkara, Kerala during the period September 2020- June 2021. One year old *Jasminum sambac* plants were used for the study. The experiment was conducted in open field condition and laid out in randomized block design with 27 treatment combinations and two replications. Each treatment consisted of pruning and application of growth regulators. Pruning was done at a height of 45 cm above the ground level during three different time of the year viz. pruning during last week of September (P₁), pruning during last week of October (P₂) and pruning during last week of November (P₂). Growth regulators were applied at the rate of 100 ml/plant with the respective concentration such as cycocel at 1000 ppm (G_1) and 1500 ppm (G_2) , paclobutrazol at 200 ppm (G₂) and 300 (G₄) ppm, mepiquat chloride at 150 ppm (G_s) and 300 ppm (G_s) , GA_s at 100 ppm (G_7) and 150 ppm (G_8) . The plants subjected only to pruning were taken as control (G_0) . All growth regulators except paclobutrazol were applied as foliar spray whereas paclobutrazol was applied as soil drenching. Uniform management practices were given for all treatments. The observations on yield and quality parameters were recorded at monthly intervals.

Results and discussion

Effect on days taken to bud initiation

Earliness of flowering is a desirable feature for seasonal flowering plants. By observing the number of days taken for bud initiation (time taken for first flower bud to appear after each set of treatment combinations expressed in days), the treatment taking least number of days for bud initiation can be taken as superior with respect to other treatments. P x G interaction effect had a significant effect on the number of days taken for bud initiation in jasmine. The days for bud initiation ranged from 8.33 days to 59.33 days. Treatments P₁ x G₃

(pruning during last week of September along with drenching of paclobutrazol at 200 ppm), P₁ x G₄ (Pruning during last week of September along with drenching of paclobutrazol 300 ppm), P₂ x G₁ (pruning during last week of October along with spraying of cycocel at 1000 ppm), P, x G, (Pruning during last week of October along with cycocel at 1500 ppm), P, x G₃ (pruning during last week of October along with paclobutrazol 200 ppm), P, x G₄ (Pruning during last week of October along with paclobutrazol at 300 ppm), P₃ x G₃ (Pruning during last week of November along with paclobutrazol 200 ppm) and P₃ x G₄ (Pruning during last week of November along with paclobutrazol at 300 ppm) were found to be on par for this parameter (8.66, 11.38, 13.5, 12.16, 8.33, 10.16, 10.16 and 11.5 days respectively). (Table 1.). Pruning is one of the important cultural operations in cultivation of jasmine, as it eliminates unwanted shoots and encourages growth of new healthy shoots which bear more flowers than old shoots by diverting sap flow towards flowering shoots (Muthuswami et al., 1973). The research on Jasminum multiflorum conducted by Murali and Gowda (1987) at Bangalore revealed that cycocel at 1000 ppm brought the plants to flowering within 34.5 days. Similar results of early flowering were noticed in Jasminum multiflorum (Bhattacharjee, 1994).

Effect on number of cymes per plant

Number of cymes per plant directly influences the total yield per plant. The number of cymes per plant was found to be significantly influenced during the months of January and April. In January the number of cymes per plant ranged from 9.67 to 87.83 and in April it ranged from 11.95 to 96.88. During the month of January, $P_1 \times G_1$ (pruning during last week of September along with cycocel at 1000 ppm), $P_1 \times G_3$ (pruning during last week of September along with paclobutrazol at 200 ppm), $P_1 \times G_6$ (pruning during last week of September along with mepiquat chloride at 150 ppm), $P_2 \times G_1$ (pruning during last week of October along with cycocel 1000 ppm), $P_1 \times G_3$ (pruning during last week of October along with paclobutrazol 200 ppm), $P_2 \times G_3$ (pruning

during last week of October along with mepiquat chloride at 150 ppm), P₃ x G₁ (pruning during last week of November along with cycocel 1000 ppm) and P₃ x G₃ (pruning during last week of November along with paclobutrazol at 200 ppm) were found to be on par (87.83, 73.33, 57.08, 57.16, 61.41, 56, 65.16, and 56. 85 respectively) with respect to number of cymes per plant. During the month of April P, x G, (pruning during last week of September along with cycocel at 1000 ppm), P₁ x G₃ (pruning during last week of September along with paclobutrazol at 200 ppm), P₃ x G₃ (pruning during last week of November along with paclobutrazol at 200 ppm), P₃ x G₄ (pruning during last week of November along with paclobutrazol at 200 ppm), P₃ x G₅ (pruning during last week of November along with mepiquat chloride at 150 ppm), P₃ x G₆ (pruning during last week of November along with mepiquat chloride 300 ppm),

P₃ x G₇ (pruning during last week of November along with GA₃ at 100 ppm) and P₃ x G₈ (pruning during last week of November along with GA, at 150 ppm) found to be on par (96.88, 79.83, 82.33, 81.91, 98.25, 91.75, 76.16, 77.5 and 82.16 respectively). (Table 1). Exogenous application of growth regulators might have caused polymerization of sugars that are utilized for vegetative growth. Due to polymerization, sugars are converted to storage carbohydrates, resulting in plant growth (Cohen, 1978). These reserved carbohydrates might have been utilized for reproductive growth. Application of cycocel also might have caused reduction in the level of endogenous gibberellin, leading to early bud initiation and flowering. Similar results were reported in *J. multiflorum* (Bhattacharjee, 1994) and in J.sambac (Gowda et al., 1991).

Table 1. Effect of pruning and growth regulators on no.of cymes and no.of buds per plant in Jasminum sambac L.

Treatments	Days to bud		Number of	buds per plan	t	Number of cymes per plant			
	initiation	Jan 21	Feb 21	Mar 21	Apr 21	Jan 21	Feb 21	Mar 21	Apr 21
$\overline{P_1 \times G_1}$	15.83	313.16	350.83	398.83	311.83	87.83	95.17	108.5	96.88
$P_1 \times G_2$	16.12	96.50	126.33	206.17	148.67	27.83	34.17	55.83	45.33
$P_1 \times G_3$	8.67	258.00	293.50	319.00	293.73	73.33	80.50	86.33	79.83
$P_1 \times G_4$	11.39	175.83	199.67	243.66	151.77	48.67	53.83	65.66	50.66
$P_1 \times G_5$	21.50	131.67	174.92	203.25	187.25	36.25	46.33	55.00	51.00
$P_1 \times G_6$	21.50	211.67	241.08	243.58	197.58	57.08	67.17	66.00	66.50
$P_1 \times G_7$	32.67	145.67	186.33	189.17	150.84	40.00	50.67	51.83	53.83
$P_1 \times G_8$	42.66	34.00	62.17	81.83	79.23	9.67	17.33	22.00	23.00
$P_1 \times G_9$	59.33	154.50	205.00	181.17	157.28	42.33	54.83	49.00	48.00
$P_2 \times G_1$	13.50	211.00	249.33	259.33	191.33	57.17	65.66	73.66	55.66
$P_2 \times G_2$	12.17	126.50	151.58	158.75	147.75	36.08	40.42	44.17	42.17
$P_{2} \times G_{3}$	8.33	222.83	242.58	232.67	202.73	61.42	66.50	63.16	59.66
$P_2 \times G_4$	10.17	40.00	205.25	197.58	153.58	35.00	56.50	53.33	49.83
$ P_{2} \times G_{4} P_{2} \times G_{5} $	20.83	206.75	192.00	206.75	170.25	56.00	53.25	56.25	56.00
$P_2 \times G_6$	22.33	86.83	104.33	105.50	75.00	24.83	28.83	28.33	24.33
$P_2 \times G_7$	29.83	155.66	169.33	177.17	132.17	42.67	60.66	47.67	48.67
$P_2 \times G_8$	36.83	99.50	97.00	115.75	78.75	27.00	27.00	31.50	27.50
$P_2 \times G_9$	50.71	47.00	51.17	48.50	37.15	13.17	14.17	13.50	12.00
$P_3 \times G_1$	15.00	183.83	232.16	316.16	297.16	65.16	62.83	89.66	82.33
$P_3 \times G_2$	15.50	123.50	170.33	223.83	196.33	32.41	43.33	59.16	62.66
$P_3 \times G_3$	10.17	129.50	212.67	298.67	288.67	56.86	56.50	79.42	81.92
$P_3 \times G_4$	11.50	142.00	222.08	355.08	320.08	29.44	62.00	96.25	98.25
$P_3 \times G_5$	19.83	163.33	259.42	347.33	289.83	45.58	68.08	94.75	91.75
$P_3 \times G_6$	22.33	168.50	223.17	302.00	278.00	42.86	60.33	82.66	76.16
$P_3 \times G_7$	23.83	234.83	272.00	375.83	300.83	49.11	70.00	101.00	77.50
$P_3 \times G_8$	20.00	154.00	270.33	317.50	317.50	26.11	71.83	84.67	82.17
$P_3 \times G_9$	23.50	89.00	180.17	142.67	54.42	26.72	48.08	38.42	16.42
CV	13.86	37.41	-	-	22.57	16.96	-	-	23.88
CD (0.05)	6.29	117.02	NS	NS	89.54	32.32	NS	NS	28.36

Table 2. Effect of pruning and growth regulators on flower yield in *Iasminum samhac* I

flower yield in Jasminum sambac L.											
Treat-	Treat- Yield		Total flower yield								
ments	ents during		per plant (g)								
	offseason (g)	Jan 21	Feb 21	Mar 21	May 21						
$P_1 \times G_1$	572.57	56.55	74.67	56.35	60.80						
$P_1 \times G_2$	298.99	28.15	30.5	38.8	32.32						
$P_1 \times G_3$	425.25	56.58	61.95	46.92	57.46						
$P_1 \times G_4$	331.25	34.61	42.31	38.88	31.78						
$P_1 \times G_5$	274.48	29.46	36.28	41.77	37.94						
$P_1 \times G_6$	370.14	47.50	47.99	26.93	35.02						
$P_1 \times G_7$	398.02	34.12	35.68	31.79	35.60						
$P_1 \times G_8$	92.88	9.55	14.21	18.33	17.35						
$P_1 \times G_0$	188.46	33.88	41.59	27.76	28.19						
$P, x G_1$	238.55	48.57	56.99	50.44	43.55						
$P_2 \times G_2$	235.13	28.87	37.93	28.22	30.29						
$P_2 \times G_3$	315.55	40.41	41.46	34.20	41.62						
$P_{2} \times G_{4}$	265.44	42.50	35.64	30.92	31.41						
$P_{5} \times G_{5}$	222.73	41.78	40.08	31.49	35.16						
$P_2 \times G_6$	151.90	20.40	50.09	23.85	15.41						
$P_2 \times G_7$	210.72	41.72	32.84	37.31	32.01						
$P_{s} \times G_{s}$	131.32	24.24	16.20	18.45	19.61						
$P_2 \times G_9$	60.20	13.53	7.20	8.11	7.02						
$P_3 \times G_1$	195.16	43.55	46.98	53.70	61.72						
$P_3 \times G_2$	190.36	29.92	33.19	34.79	40.18						
$P_3 \times G_3$	220.49	29.41	41.54	55.13	59.93						
$P_3 \times G_4$	244.79	29.43	44.42	63.66	65.47						
$P_3 \times G_5$	305.64	37.86	53.13	64.62	64.74						
$P_3 \times G_6$	281.90	49.73	48.99	61.24	62.05						
$P_3 \times G_7$	267.45	47.47	70.98	85.88	74.29						
$P_3 \times G_8$	204.04	23.72	65.93	80.04	82.5						
$P_3 \times G_9$	95.01	12.04	35.26	24.87	10.42						
CV	17.81	-	29.43	13.20	25.04						
CD (0.05) 92.09	NS	25.65	11.24	21.26						

Effect on number of buds per plant

P x G interaction had a significant effect on the number of buds per plant during the months of January and April. In month of January P_1 x G_1 (pruning during last week of September along with cycocel at 1000 ppm) (313.16), P_1 x G_3 (pruning during last week of September along with paclobutrazol at 100 ppm) (258), P_2 x G_1 (pruning during last week of October along with cycocel at 1000 ppm) (211), P_2 x G_5 (pruning during last week of October along with mepiquat chloride at 150 ppm) (206.75) and P_3 x G_7 (pruning during last week of November along with GA_3 at 100 ppm) (234.83) were found to be on par. In the month of April, all treatments except P_3 x G_2 (pruning during the last week of November along with cycocel at 1500 ppm)

and P₃ x G₆ (pruning during the last week of November without growth regulator application) were found to be significantly superior among P, x G combinations and was on par with $P_1 \times G_1$ (pruning during last week of September along with cycocel at 1000 ppm) (311.83) and $P_1 \times G_2$ (pruning during last week of September along with paclobutrazol at 100 ppm) (293.73) (Table 1). Paclobutrazol might have caused an increase in carbohydrate content of leaves, resulting in production and translocation of metabolites for production of flower buds. (El-Sadek, 2018). More leaf area as well as high chlorophyll content were observed under the significant treatments might have increased the rate of photosynthesis and formation of more cymes as well as flower buds.

Effect on total flower yield per plant (g)

A significant difference was noticed due to the interaction effect of pruning and growth regulators on total flower yield per plant. In the month of February, treatments viz. P₁ x G₁ (pruning during the last week of September along with cycocel at 1000 ppm) (77.66 g), P₁ x G₃ (pruning during the last week of September along with paclobutrazol at 200 ppm) (61.95 g), P, x G, (pruning during the last week of October along with cycocel at 1000 ppm) (56.99 g), P₂ x G₆ (pruning during the last week of October along with mepiquat chloride at 300 ppm) (50.10 g), $P_3 \times G_7$ (pruning during the last week of November along with GA, at 100 ppm) (70.97 g) and P₃ x G₈ (pruning during the last week of November along with GA₃ at 150 ppm) (65.93 g) were found to be on par. $P_3 \times G_7$ (pruning during the last week of November along with GA₃ at 100 ppm) and P₃ x G₈ (pruning during the last week of November along with GA₃ at 150 ppm) were on par in the month of March. In April $P_3 \times G_1$ (pruning during the last week of November along with cycocel at 1000 ppm) (61.72 g), P₃ x G₄ (pruning during the last week of November along with paclobutrazol at 200 ppm) (65.47 g), P₃ x G₅ (pruning during the last week of November along with mepiquat chloride at 150 ppm) (64.74 g), P₃ x G₆ (pruning during the last week of November along

with mepiquat chloride at 300 ppm) (62.05 g), P_3x G_7 (pruning during the last week of November along with GA_3 at 100 ppm) (74.29 g) and P_3x G_8 (pruning during the last week of November along with GA_3 at 150 ppm) (82.50 g) were found to be on par. Flower yield is dependent on the number of flowering branches. Better vegetative growth and formation of large reserve food source might have lead to more yield of flowers, in treated plants when compared to control. This result agrees with the findings of Sobhana (2014) in *J. sambac. (Table 2.)*.

Effect on flower yield during offseason

Jasmine being a seasonal crop, during offseason there is a great demand in market. Interaction of P x G was also found to have a significant effect with respect to flower yield during the offseason. P₁ x G₁ (pruning during the last week of September along with cycocel at 1000 ppm) gave a higher yield (572. 57 g) when compared with other treatment combinations (Table 2.). The lowest yield was noticed in P₂ x G₀ (pruning during the last week of October without application of growth regulators) (60.19 g). Flower yield is dependent on the number of flowering branches. Production of more foliage in September and November pruned plants might have resulted in increased photosynthesis and ultimately large reserve food source leading to production of more number of flowers (Kumaresan et al., 2017). Moreover, application of cycocel resulted in reduction of endogenous gibberellin which is a prerequisite for flower induction in flowering plants, when combined with early pruning (pruning during last week of September) gave sufficient time period for the plant to put energy into vegetative production and later flower production which can be stated as reason for more flower yield during off season in P₁ x G1 combination. Further it would have favoured the factors influencing floral initiation during peak season ie., carbohydrate pathway and photoperiodic pathway with GA, pathway (Dhanasekharan, 2018). Similar results were noticed in studies conducted by Nair et al. (2009), Jennoah (2012) and

Kalaimani et al. (2018) in Jasminum sambac.

References

- Bhattacharjee S. K. 1994. Effect of cycocel on different species of *Jasminum*. Indian Perfumer. 38(1): 40-46.
- Cohen, M.A. 1978. Effect of growth regulators on three ornamental species. Sci. Hortic. 9(3): 279-283
- Dhanasekaran, D. 2019. Augmentation of flowering in Jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*. Ait.) through growth hormones. Ann. Plant Soil Res. 21(2): 116-120.
- El-Sadek, 2018. Improvement in yield and quality of dahlia flowers by exogenous application of gibberellic acid and salicylic acid under sandy soil conditions. J. Plant Prod. 9(3): 289-297.
- Gowda, J. V. N., Gowda, P. H. R. and Nagegowda V. 1991. Effect of cycocel and maleic hydrazide on growth and flowering of dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis*) cv. local. Crop. Res. 4(1):171-172
- Jennoah, B. 2012. Standardization of techniques for offseason flowering in Jasmine species under polyhouse. M. Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. 65p.
- Kalaimani M. 2017. Influence of time of pruning and plant growth retardants on growth and off-season flower production in *Jasminum sambac* (L.). Int. J. Chemical Stud. 5(6): 1277-1281.
- Krishnamoorthy, V. 2014. Enhancing flower productivity during the offseason in Jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*). J. Krishi Vigyan. 3(1): 88-90.
- Kumaresan, M., Rajadurai, K. R., Ganga, M. and Sivakumar, R. 2017. Effect of pruning and paclobutrazol application on physiological and flowering characters of Jasmine *Jasminum sambac* (L.). during offseason. Int. J. Con. Sci. 5(5): 2374-2378.
- Lokhande, S., Chopde, N., Wasnik, P. and Nehare, N. 2015. Response of *Jasminum sambac* (L.) to time and severity of pruning. Plant Arch. 15(2): 759-762.
- Murali T. P. and Gowda N. J. V. 1987. Effect of cyocel and maleic hydrazide on growth and flowering in 'Kakada' (*Jasminum multiflorum Andr.*). Indian Perfumer. 31(3): 215-218.
- Muthuswami, S., Pappiah, C. M. and Syed, S.1973. A note on the effect of pruning in *Jasminum sambac Ait* var. Single Mogra. South Indian Hortic.2(1): 70-72.
- Nair, S. A., Sujatha, K. and Venugopalan R. 2009. Influence of pruning time on enhancing the yield

and quality of *Jasminum sambac* flowers during the off-season. Indian J. Agri. Sci. 79(11): 857-860.

Pal, S. L. 2017. Effect of time of pruning on growth and flowering of jasmine (*Jasminum sambac* (L.) Ait.) under Western UP condition. Hort. Flora Res. Spect.

6(3): 200-202.

Sobhana, A. 2014. Effect of bioregulators and cow's urine on flower production in jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*). Asian J. Hort. 9(1): 160-163.